# What do you do if your data fail your specification?



#### **Repair your data.**

# What do you do if your data fail your specification?



**Repair your data.**

# What do you do if your data fail your specification?



Different ways of repairing data:



Can we streaming-repair each XML document with an uniform number of edits?

Definition (informal)

Given XML specifications  $R$  (restriction) and  $T$  (target), determine if there exist a streaming repair process  $S: L(\mathcal{R}) \to L(\mathcal{T})$  and an uniform bound  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

 $cost(t, S) \leq N$  for all XML documents  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Streaming bounded repair problem

Can we streaming-repair each XML document with an uniform number of edits?



Can we streaming-repair each XML document with an uniform number of edits?



## Summary of main results in the paper

**Effective characterization** for the streaming bounded repair problem.

- ▸ For DTDs and XML Schemas (deterministic top-down tree automata).
- ▸ Based on a stack game between two players.

**Precise complexity** of the streaming bounded repair problem.

- ▸ EXPTIME-complete.
- ▸ An exponential gap between the word and tree case.

# Which DTDs are streaming bounded repairable?

Cristian Riveros University of Oxford

Pierre Bourhis University of Oxford

Gabriele Puppis CNRS/LaBRI Bordeaux

ICDT 2013

# <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Outline**

[Setting](#page-8-0)

[Streaming problem](#page-12-0)

[Main characterization](#page-17-0)

**[Complexity](#page-23-0)** 

# **Outline**

#### [Setting](#page-8-0)

[Streaming problem](#page-12-0)

[Main characterization](#page-17-0)

[Complexity](#page-23-0)

# Trees and their XML-encoding



 $\blacksquare$  XML specification A (e.g. XML Schema or unranked tree automata)

$$
L(\mathcal{A}) = \{t \in \text{Trees} \mid t \in \mathcal{A}\}
$$
  

$$
Docs(\mathcal{A}) = \{\hat{t} \in \text{XML} \mid t \in \mathcal{A}\}
$$

### Streaming transducers for repairing XML documents

A repair strategy is a function  $f: L(\mathcal{R}) \to L(\mathcal{T})$ .

- A streaming repair strategy is a function  $S: \text{Docs}(\mathcal{R}) \to \text{Docs}(\mathcal{T})$ :
	- $\triangleright$  S is specified by a sequential transducer.
	- $\triangleright$  S could have infinite memory.

Cost of a streaming repair strategy S over  $\hat{t} = a_1 \dots a_n$ .

$$
cost(\hat{t}, S) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dist(a_i, u_i)
$$

where  $u_i$  is the output of  $\mathcal S$  after reading  $a_i.$ 

# <span id="page-12-0"></span>**Outline**

[Setting](#page-8-0)

#### [Streaming problem](#page-12-0)

[Main characterization](#page-17-0)

[Complexity](#page-23-0)

## Streaming bounded repair problem

Definition

Given XML specifications  $R$  and  $T$ , determine if there exists a streaming repair strategy  $S: \text{Docs}(\mathcal{R}) \to \text{Docs}(\mathcal{T})$  and an uniform bound  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ :

 $cost(\hat{t}, \mathcal{S}) \leq N \quad \forall \ \hat{t} \in \text{Docs}(\mathcal{R})$ 

We have studied this problem

over words and (non-streaming) trees

1. "Regular repair of specifications", in LICS 2011.

2. "Bounded repairability for regular tree languages", in ICDT 2012.

Main ideas previous papers:



Similar approach does NOT work for the streaming case in general **!**

### Deterministic top-down tree automata

Definition

A deterministic top-down tree automaton (DTT-automata) is a tuple:

 $A = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, q_0, F)$ 

 $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$  :  $Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q \times Q$  is the transition function.

*■ q***<sub>0</sub>** is the initial state, and  $F \subseteq Q$  is the final set of states.

DTT-automata over the **first-child-next-sibling** encoding.

### Example



### Deterministic top-down tree automata

Definition

A deterministic top-down tree automaton (DTT-automata) is a tuple:

 $A = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, q_0, F)$ 

 $\bullet$  *δ* :  $Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q \times Q$  is the transition function,

*■ q***<sub>0</sub>** is the initial state, and  $F \subseteq Q$  is the final set of states.

DTT-automata are more expressive than **DTDs** or **XML Schema**.

# <span id="page-17-0"></span>**Outline**

[Setting](#page-8-0)

[Streaming problem](#page-12-0)

#### [Main characterization](#page-17-0)

[Complexity](#page-23-0)

## Main ideas of the characterization



- **1.** Transition graph of  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ .
- 2. Cyclic behavior: Strongly connected components.
- 3. Stack game between Generator and Repairer.
	- ▸ Following the preorder traversal of the graph (stacks are needed).

## Cyclic behavior of DTT-automata (components)

### **Definition**

Given  $A = (\Sigma, Q, \delta, q_0, F)$ , the transition graph of *A* is the graph  $G_A = (Q, E_h \cup E_v)$  such that for every  $\delta(q, a) = (q_1, q_2)$ :



SCC( $A$ ) is the set of strongly connected component *X* of  $G_A$ .  $L(A | X) = {C ∈ \text{Context}_{\Sigma} | \exists p, q ∈ X : \delta(p, C) = q}$ 



*L*( $A$  |  $X_1$ ) ⊆ *L*( $A$  |  $X_2$ ), then the cyclic behaviour of  $X_1$  is contained in the cyclic behaviour of  $X_2$ .

### Stacks over strongly connected components

**••** (Prefix rewriting systems).

Stack alphabets:  $SCC(\mathcal{R})$  and  $SCC(\mathcal{T})$ .

**Rules of the form:** 

\n
$$
X \mapsto X_1 X_2 \quad \xrightarrow{\bullet} \quad X \cdot w \stackrel{A}{\Rightarrow} X_1 \cdot X_2 \cdot w
$$
\n

\n\n $X \mapsto \epsilon \quad \xrightarrow{\bullet} \quad X \cdot w \stackrel{A}{\Rightarrow} w$ \n

Two prefix-rewriting systems: Stack $(\mathcal{R})$  and Stack $^*(\mathcal{T})$ 

$$
X \mapsto X_1 X_2 \in \text{Stack}(\mathcal{R}) \quad \text{iff} \quad \delta(p, a) = (p_1, p_2) \exists p \in X, p_1 \in X_1, p_2 \in X_2
$$
  

$$
X_1 \neq X \land X_2 \neq X
$$

 $X \mapsto \epsilon \in \text{Stack}(\mathcal{R})$  always  $- - -$ 

*Y*  $\mapsto$  *Y*<sub>1</sub> *Y*<sub>2</sub> ∈ Stack<sup>\*</sup>(*T*) iff  $\delta'(q, a) = (q_1, q_2) \exists q \in Y, q_1 \in Y_1, q_2 \in Y_2$ *Y*  $\mapsto$   $\epsilon$  ∈ Stack<sup>\*</sup>( $\mathcal{T}$ ) always

where  $X, X_1, X_2 \in \text{SCC}(\mathcal{R})$  and  $Y, Y_1, Y_2 \in \text{SCC}(\mathcal{T})$ .

### Stack-game between Generator and Repairer

Given R and T we define a turn-based game  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T})$ .

- Two players: Generator and Repairer.
	- ▶ Generator plays over Stack $(R)$ .
	- ▸ Repairer plays over Stack∗ (T ).



## Main characterization

Theorem

### $L(R)$  is streaming bounded repairable into  $L(T)$ iff Repairer has a winning strategy in  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{T})$ .

Details of the proof: read the paper.

# <span id="page-23-0"></span>**Outline**

[Setting](#page-8-0)

[Streaming problem](#page-12-0)

[Main characterization](#page-17-0)

**[Complexity](#page-23-0)** 

## Complexity of the streaming bounded repair problem

Stack $(\mathcal{R})$ :

**Non-recursive.** 

■ Stacks are of polynomial size.

Stack $^*(\mathcal{T})$ :

Stacks are of unbounded size (can be bounded by a polynomial).

Theorem

The streaming bounded repair problem for DTT-automata is

EXPTIME-complete.

For deterministic word and tree automata:



## Concluding remarks

**Effective characterization** for the streaming bounded repair problem.

- Only for DTT-automata (e.g. DTDs and XML Schemas).
- **EXPTIME-complete for DTT-automata.**

Open problems:

- Characterization in the general case (regular tree languages).
- **Amount of memory needed for the streaming strategy.**

# Which DTDs are streaming bounded repairable?

Cristian Riveros University of Oxford

Pierre Bourhis University of Oxford

Gabriele Puppis CNRS/LaBRI Bordeaux

ICDT 2013